

The MAJORANA Project

C.E. Aalseth¹⁰, M. Amman⁶, J.F. Amsbaugh²⁰, F.T. Avignone III^{8,17},
H.O. Back^{7,11}, A.S. Barabash³, P.S. Barbeau¹⁵, J.R. Beene¹⁷,
M. Bergevin⁶, F.E. Bertrand⁸, M. Boswell⁵, V. Brudanin⁴,
W. Bugg¹⁹, T.H. Burritt²⁰, M. Busch^{2,11}, G. Capps⁸, Y-D. Chan⁶,
J.I. Collar¹⁵, R.J. Cooper⁸, R. Creswick¹⁷, J.A. Detwiler⁶, P.J. Doe²⁰,
Yu. Efremenko¹⁹, V. Egorov⁴, H. Ejiri⁹, S.R. Elliott⁵, J. Ely¹⁰,
J. Esterline^{2,11}, H. Farach¹⁷, J.E. Fast¹⁰, N. Fields¹⁵, P. Finnerty^{11,16},
B. Fujikawa⁶, E. Fuller¹⁰, V.M. Gehman⁵, G.K. Giovanetti^{11,16},
V.E. Guiseppe⁵, K. Gusev⁴, A.L. Hallin¹², R. Hazama⁹,
R. Henning^{11,16}, A. Hime⁵, E.W. Hoppe¹⁰, T.W. Hossbach¹⁰,
M.A. Howe^{11,16}, R.A. Johnson²⁰, K.J. Keeter¹, M. Keillor¹⁰,
C. Keller¹⁸, J.D. Kephart^{7,10,11}, M.F. Kidd^{2,11}, O. Kochetov⁴,
S.I. Konovalov³, R.T. Kouzes¹⁰, L. Leviner^{7,11}, J.C. Loach⁶,
P.N. Luke⁶, S. MacMullin^{11,16}, M.G. Marino²⁰, R.D. Martin⁶,
D.-M. Mei¹⁸, H.S. Miley¹⁰, M.L. Miller²⁰, L. Mizouni^{10,17},
A. Montoya⁵, A.W. Myers¹⁰, M. Nomachi⁹, J.L. Orrell¹⁰,
D.G. Phillips II^{11,16}, A.W.P. Poon⁶, G. Prior⁶, J. Qian⁶,
D.C. Radford⁸, K. Rielage⁵, R.G.H. Robertson²⁰, L. Rodriguez⁵,
K.P. Rykaczewski⁸, A.G. Schubert²⁰, T. Shima⁹, M. Shirchenko⁴,
D. Steele⁵, J. Strain^{11,16}, G. Swift^{2,11}, K. Thomas¹⁸, R. Thompson¹⁰,
V. Timkin⁴, W. Tornow^{2,11}, T.D. Van Wechel²⁰, I. Vanyushin³,
K. Vetter^{6,13}, R. Warner¹⁰, J.F. Wilkerson^{11,16}, J.M. Wouters⁵,
E. Yakushev⁴, A.R. Young^{7,11}, C.-H. Yu⁸, V. Yumatov³, C. Zhang¹⁸,
S. Zimmerman⁶

¹ Department of Physics, Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD, USA

² Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

³ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

⁴ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

⁵ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA

⁶ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

⁷ Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

⁸ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

⁹ Research Center for Nuclear Physics and Department of Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan

¹⁰ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

¹¹ Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Durham, NC, USA

¹² Centre for Particle Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

¹³ Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

¹⁴ Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

¹⁵ Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

¹⁶ Department of Physics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

¹⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA

¹⁸ Department of Earth Science and Physics, University of South Dakota, Vermillion, SD, USA

¹⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

²⁰ Center for Experimental Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics, and Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

E-mail: elliotts@lanl.gov

Abstract. The MAJORANA Project, a neutrinoless double-beta decay experiment is described with an emphasis on the choice of Ge-detector configuration.

Experimental evidence of $0\nu\beta\beta$ would establish the Majorana nature of neutrinos. The science of $\beta\beta$ and the large number of experimental and theoretical efforts in the field are described in detail, not only in this volume, but also in many recent reviews [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

The objective of the first experimental phase of MAJORANA is to build a 60-kg module of high-purity Ge, of which 30 kg will be enriched to 86% in ^{76}Ge , to search $0\nu\beta\beta$. This module is referred to by the collaboration as the DEMONSTRATOR. The physics goals for this first phase are to: probe the neutrino mass region above 100 meV; demonstrate that backgrounds at or below 1 count/tonne-year in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay region of interest can be achieved that would justify scaling up to a 1 tonne or larger mass detector; and definitively test the recent claim [7] of an observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in ^{76}Ge in the mass region around 400 meV.

The DEMONSTRATOR will consist of ^{76}Ge detectors, deployed in multi-crystal modules, located deep underground within a low-background shielding environment. The technique will be augmented with recent improvements in signal processing and detector design, and advances in controlling intrinsic and external backgrounds. Recently, R&D by the MAJORANA and GERDA [8] collaborations have shown the utility of p-type, point-contact (P-PC) Ge detectors for $\beta\beta$. MAJORANA has been described elsewhere [9, 10, 11], so this short note will summarize the efforts developing these detectors.

The efficient commercial production of Ge detectors depends strongly on the yields for producing high-purity single crystals of Ge and for producing p-n junction diodes from these crystals. Single-crystal boules naturally favor right circular cylindrical geometries, making such an obvious choice to make efficient use the precious enriched Ge material.

Previous-generation $\beta\beta$ decay searches in ^{76}Ge favored detectors made of p-type crystals that can be grown more efficiently to larger dimensions than n-type crystals. In addition, charge-trapping effects are reduced, and generally slightly better energy resolution is obtained. P-type detectors are typically fabricated with a p+ B-implanted contact on an inner bore hole and an n+ Li-drifted contact on the outside electrode to obtain efficient and full depletion from the outside. While the inside B contact is very thin (typically $<1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) the Li-drifted contact can be as thick as 1 mm due to the high mobility of Li in Ge. The thickness of the outside-Li contact provides an advantage since this dead layer absorbs α radiation from surface contamination that might generate background.

While the pulse-shape obtained at the central contact in coaxial detectors can provide radial separation of multiple interactions in any implementation, pulse-shapes obtained in segmented detectors provide improved sensitivity in the radial separation and, more importantly, in complementary directions as well. A high degree of segmentation, such as a 6x6-fold segmentation, enables the full reconstruction of γ -ray interactions within the detectors. Even without absolute event vertex reconstruction, events with multiple energy depositions can be identified and rejected. Preliminary results from GRETINA [12] and other highly-segmented HPGe arrays indicate that a minimum separation of 4 mm will be achievable. However, these advanced capabilities come at the price of a proportionally larger number of small parts such as cables and FETs, with their associated additional sources of background.

An alternative cylindrical detector design has been developed in which the bore hole is

removed and replaced with a point-contact in the center of the passivated detector face [13]. The changes in the electrode structure result in a drop in capacitance to ~ 1 pF, reducing the electronic noise component and enabling sub-keV energy thresholds. This configuration also has lower electric fields throughout the bulk of the crystal and a weighting potential that is sharply peaked near the point contact, resulting in an increased range of drift times and a distinct electric signal marking the arrival of the charge cloud at the central electrode. These features result in an improved pulse-shape analysis (PSA) over semi-coax detectors that rivals the performance of segmented detectors. Hence, p-type versions of this configuration are our favored choice. Instrumented with modern FETs, P-PC detectors have recently been demonstrated by MAJORANA collaborators to provide very low noise and energy threshold, as well as excellent pulse-shape capabilities for distinguishing multiple interactions from single interactions [14].

In our R&D efforts, we have studied a large number of detector designs. Many of these detectors were custom made to our specifications either by vendors or in-house to explore various performance aspects. We studied segmented detectors with a commercial CLOVER detector, a custom ORTEC-fabricated [15] enriched-Ge segmented detector (SEGA), a Canberra-fabricated highly segmented GRETINA prototype, and a LBNL-fabricated segmented P-PC. We have studied passivation issues with a LBNL-fabricated P-PC, and two detectors with various ditch geometries fabricated at PHDs [16]. We also modified an n-type detector for surface α -emitter studies. Recently, a novel variation on the P-PC detector design has been proposed [17] which makes use of a coaxial hole similar in dimensions to that of a coaxial HPGe detector. In this case, however, the hole is part of the outer Li contact. In these coaxial-style P-PC detectors, the hole serves to reduce the depletion voltage of a large detector by about a factor of two, allowing the use of much longer crystals, and crystals with higher concentrations of electrical impurities.

Broad Energy Ge (BEGe) detectors [18] are commercially available. These detectors share many of the features of P-PC detectors, and generally meet the requirements for the DEMONSTRATOR. They have been produced with diameters up to 90 mm, but have a shorter maximum length of about 30 mm. We have studied a variety of sizes of these detectors. We have chosen a BEGe design that is 70-mm diameter, 30-mm high to be the primary detector configuration for the DEMONSTRATOR due to its cost effectiveness, PSA-background rejection capabilities, and simplicity of the contacts. GERDA has also recently reported a BEGe study [19]. We have purchased an initial batch of 18 of these detectors. They have an average leakage current of 3 pA, capacitance 1.3 pF, and a resolution of 1.8 keV at 1332 keV.

References

- [1] Elliott S R and Vogel P 2002 *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **52** 115
- [2] Elliott S R and Engel J 2004 *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **30** R 183
- [3] Barabash A S, Hubert F, Huber P and Umatov V I 2004 *Phys. At. Nucl.* **67** 438
- [4] Ejiri H 2005 *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **74** 2101
- [5] Avignone F T III, King G S III and Zdesenko Y 2005 *New Journal of Physics* **7** 6
- [6] Avignone F T III, Elliott S and Engel J 2008 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 481 (*Preprint arXiv:0708.1033*)
- [7] Klapdor-Kleingrothaus H V and Krivosheina I V 2006 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **21** 1547
- [8] Schönert S *et al.* 2005 *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **145** 242
- [9] Elliott S *et al.* 2008 (*Preprint arXiv:0807.1741*)
- [10] Guiseppe V *et al.* 2008 (*Preprint arXiv:0811.2446*)
- [11] Henning R *et al.* 2009 (*Preprint arXiv:0907.1581*)
- [12] Vetter K *et al.* 2000 *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* **452** 105–114
- [13] Luke P, Goulding F, Madden N and Pehl R 1989 *IEEE trans. Nucl. Sci.* **36** 926
- [14] Barbeau P, Collar J and Tench O 2007 *JCAP* **09** 009
- [15] 2009 ORTEC, 801 South Illinois Avenue Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA
- [16] 2009 PHDs Co. 777 Emory Valley Road, Suite B, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA
- [17] Radford D private communication
- [18] 2009 Broad Energy Ge Detectors, Canberra Industries, Inc., 800 Research Pkwy, Meriden, CT 06450, USA
- [19] Budjáš D, Heider M B, Chkvorets O, Khanbekov N and Schönert S 2009 (*Preprint arXiv:0909.4044*)